You should write your results on the exam sheets only. Partial credit will be given only if you show your work and reasoning clearly.

Throughout the exam, you can ignore flicker noise, assume that the $r_o$ of the transistors is infinite, and ignore all capacitors except those drawn in the circuit unless the problem states otherwise.

Name: __________________________________________

SID: __________________________________________
Problem 1 (10 points) Noise

What is the total voltage noise variance $v_{on}^2$ at the output of the amplifier shown above? You should provide your final answer in terms of $k$, $T$, $\gamma$, $R_L$, $L_s$, $C_L$, and $A_{v0} = g_m R_L$. 
Problem 2 (18 points) Termination and Noise

As we will see later on in class, in many applications we have to design our amplifiers such that they provide an input termination resistance that is equal to the resistance of the source (e.g., in order to avoid reflections). In this problem we will examine a few options for implementing the amplifier and the termination resistor.

(a) **(4 pts)** For the circuit shown below, what is the noise voltage density \( (v_{\text{on}}^2/\Delta f) \) at the output if you assume that the source resistance \( R_s \) is noiseless (i.e., noise is only contributed by \( R_{\text{in}} \))? Under this same condition and assuming that \( V_{\text{in}} \) is a sinusoid with amplitude of \( A_{\text{in}} \), what is the SNR of \( V_{\text{out}} \)? You should provide your answers in terms of \( k, T, R_s, \Delta f \), and \( A_{\text{in}} \).

[Diagram of the circuit with labels: \( V_{\text{in}}, R_s, V_{\text{out}}, R_{\text{in}} (=R_s) \)]
b) (6 pts) Your colleague Ace says he thinks he can do better with an active circuit to create the termination and amplify the signal. Assuming that $R_s$ and $R_L$ are noiseless and that $1/g_m = R_s$ (i.e., the transistor provides the termination resistance) and still with an input sinusoid whose amplitude is $A_{in}$, now what is the SNR at $V_{out}$? You can assume that $\gamma = 1$, and you should provide your answers in terms of $k$, $T$, $R_s$, $\Delta f$, and $A_{in}$. 

![Circuit Diagram]

\[ \text{SNR} \]
c) (8 pts) Your other colleague Pat suggests she can achieve better noise performance with the circuit shown below. Ignoring all noise sources except for the noise from M1 and assuming that $g_{m2}R_L = g_{m3}R_s$ and that $1/g_{m1} = R_s$, what is the noise voltage density at $V_{out}$? What is the small signal gain of the circuit from $V_{in}$ to $V_{out}$? You should provide your answers in terms of $k$, $T$, $R_s$, $R_L$, $R_{L2}$, $g_{m3}$, and $\Delta f$. 

![Circuit Diagram](Diagram.png)
d) (4 pts) For the circuit shown above, what is the total noise current flowing into R ($i_{on2}^2$) due to the noise current of device M1? You can ignore all capacitors except for the $C_{gs}$ of the PMOS transistors, and you should provide your answer in terms of $k$, $T$, $\gamma$, $g_{m1}$, $C_{gs1}$, and $M$. 
e) **(5 pts)** Now let’s look at why we want to route currents instead of voltages in our mirrors. For the circuit shown above, approximately what is the error in output current ($I_{out}$) due to $R_s$? You should provide your answer as a function of $V_{i*}$, $M$, $I_b$, and $R_s$. 

![Circuit Diagram]